

**South Carolina Board of Barber Examiners
Board Meeting
9:00 a.m., February 23, 2015
Synergy Business Park
Kingstree Building
110 Centerview Drive, Conference Room 105
Columbia, South Carolina 29211**

Meeting Called to Order

Public notice of this meeting was properly posted at the S. C. Board of Barber Examiner's office, Synergy Business Park, Kingstree Building and provided to all requesting persons, organizations, and news media in compliance with Section 30-4-80 of the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act.

Rules of the Meeting Read by the Chairman

Pledge of Allegiance

Introduction of Board Members

Chairman Paul Robinson called the regular meeting of the Board of Barber Examiners to order. Other Board members in attendance included, Renee Patton, Ed Barnes, Frederick Evans and Patricia Durkin.

Staff Members Participating in the Meeting

Mary League, Advice Counsel; Theresa Richardson, Administrator; Roz Bailey-Glover, Matteah Taylor, Staff; Ronnie Blackmon, Sharon Wolfe, OIE; and Tracey Perlman, ODC.

Approval of Excused Absences

There were no absences.

Approval of the Agenda

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion to approve the agenda. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Approval of the Minutes

December 8, 2014

Approval of the Special Called Minutes

January 5, 2015

MOTION:

Patricia Durkin made a motion to approve the minutes from December 8, 2014. Edwin Barnes seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion to approve the minutes from January 5, 2015 Special Called meeting. Edwin Barnes seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Chairman's Remarks – Paul Robinson

Mr. Robinson and Renee Patton attended the Mid-Winter Barber Conference. Mr. Robinson mentioned a few interesting points were mentioned in the conference. The highlighted areas that are facing Boards throughout the Nation are for Board members to make friends all year long especially with Legislation particularly when it is time for updates to be passed without lobbying. Another issue is the falsification of licenses which is in line with identity theft. The National Association of Barber Board of America (NABBA) has received their 501C6, non-profit status. Another issue that was brought up at the conference in compliance with the laws was of teeth whitening and string hair removal businesses are popping up. In some States these businesses fall under various Boards, some Barber some Cosmetology and some are under no guidance.

In September 21 – 23, 2015 the National Barber meeting will be held in Phoenix, Arizona. The National convention meeting for 2016 will be held in Columbus, OH. Renee Patton is a National Board member and she would like to hold the National meeting for 2017 in South Carolina. Before the National Board will approve the Annual meeting location they will need information pertaining to the conference location. This information needs to be put together as soon as possible for review and approval.

Administrator Remarks – Theresa Richardson, Administrator**OIE Report – Sharon Wolfe**

The OIE case report is from January 1, 2014 through December 1, 2014. There were a total of 16 closed cases, 8 cases were do not open, 2 were pending Board action, none were pending IRC, and 2 were pending criminal court action for a total of 28 cases. There were 38 alleged issues, 1 was unknown, 7 cases were allowing unlicensed person to practice, 2 were criminal convictions, 4 cases were failure to maintain/provide records, 2 cases were fraud in obtaining license or credentials, 1 case was non compliance with statutes and regulation, 8 cases fell under other (not classified), 12 cases were unlicensed practice and 1 case was unprofessional conduct for a total of 38 cases. In the case statuses there were 75 cases that were closed and 7 cases were do not open for a total of 82 cases. Under the alleged issues, 1 case was aiding and abetting unlicensed entity, 25 cases were allowing unlicensed person to practice, 2 cases were criminal conviction, 4 cases were failure to maintain or provide records, 4 cases were in a non compliance with Statutes and Regulations, 11 cases were not classified, 1 case was practicing outside the scope of practice, 33 cases were unlicensed practice, and 1 case was unprofessional conduct for a total of 82 cases.

IRC Report – Sharon Wolfe

Case 2014-26 is a voluntary relinquishment

Case 2014-44 is a formal complaint

MOTION:

Patricia Durkin made a motion to accept case 2014-26 as a voluntary relinquishment of the license. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion to accept case 2014-44 as a formal complaint. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

ODC Report – Tracey Perlman

As of December 1, 2014 there were 12 open cases, 6 pending action cases, 1 pending CA/MOA, 5 pending hearing cases, and as of September 24, 2014, 14 cases were closed.

Inspection Report – Robbie Boland

As of October 2014 there were 98 shops attempted to be inspected, 25 of those shops were not opened for business at the time of inspection, and 73 shops were actually inspected. In November 2014 there were 48 shops attempted for inspection, 13 of those shops were not opened for business at the time of inspection, and 35 shops were actually inspected. There were a total of 992 shops to be inspected for the year 2014; 269 of those shops were not opened at the time of the inspection, and 723 of those shops were physically inspected. There were a total of 1,458 active shops for the year of 2014.

Budget – November - December 2014 and January 2015

Dr. Evans asked about the immigration proviso. Ms. Richardson explained the immigration proviso is for LLR's Immigration Department. When individuals submit the Affidavit of Eligibility and include their permanent residence card or their work visa the Immigration's Department will conduct a research and provide the outcome to the staff. Funds are delegated to the Immigration Department for research.

Ms. Richardson mentioned to the Board that the Barber bill is looking favorable. It went before the subcommittee last Thursday, February 19, 2015, to update the statutes to add the post-secondary school information. The bill should go before the full committee this week, the week of February 23, 2015.

Mary League mentioned the Chief Advice Counsel asked her to point out when Board members are approached by the media or the public, as a Board member one member cannot speak for the full Board. LLR asked that if a Board member is contacted or approached by the media refer them to the Office of Communications, Lesia Kudelka. If contacted or approached by a member of the public, refer to the Barber Board Administrator, Theresa Richardson. Particularly if it is the media that has made the approach, the Office of Communication will craft an appropriate response without taking away from the Board members. Board members can answer questions as an individual and a professional member of the public. Board members cannot lobby when speaking to a member of Legislation. Board members can speak as a member of the Barber profession or a member of the public. If asked for testimony the Board members would be introduced as a Board member.

Old Business**Review for Consideration of the Candidate Information Bulletin (CIB)**

The practical live shave component discussion was tabled from January 5, 2015, the special called phone conference.

Patricia Durkin made a comment about the live shave prior to PCS and NIC becoming a part of the meeting. Ms. Durkin attended the practical examination in January 2015 and noticed that the live shave was allotted a 20 minute time limit and this has been in place over a year. There were no strokes being called out for the exam candidates to demonstrate. It was noticed that one person had a blade and the other did not have a blade. Ms. Durkin stated there are miscommunications between the Board and PCS. The examination is unorganized. The examiners did not know the point values of the examination. The tapered hair cut component was not preformed and that is a process of being a Barber.

MOTION:

Edwin Barnes made a motion to go into executive session for legal advice pertaining to concerns the Board members had about the practical component of the examination. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously. Dr. Evans opposed the executive session.

MOTION:

Edwin Barnes made a motion to enter back into regular session. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

During executive session no decisions were made no votes were taken. Counsel was provider pertaining to PCS and the CIBs.

Mr. Robinson explained June of each year PCS has a school overview and training for the examiners. In these overviews PCS lays out the breakdown of the examinations and the point values of each component of the examinations. The examination allows the candidate to exhibit minimal competence. When the live shave was implemented nothing changed that would fail a candidate for not actually removing hair. The candidates are actually tested on sanitation, client protection, holding the razor properly and the proper 14 stroke techniques. There are different point values under the tapered hair cutting section but the removing of hair is not counted against a candidate. If the student does not complete a section under a specific component they could possibly fail that component but not the entire examination. Francine Rananto explained the school overview and examiner's training will be held June 29, 2015 and the location has not been determined.

National Interstate Council (NIC) recommended the shaving component of the examination be a live shave instead of a mock shave with no time limits. Francine Rananto from PCS explained NIC recommended that there is no time limit so that the examiners can see that each stroke is completed safely and accurately.

Rosanne Kinley and Shawn Conder from NIC explained the reason for the live shave being untimed is because the examiner would ask each candidate individually to complete the first 5 strokes of the shave. The examiner will be able to see the back hand stroke, the reverse and pre-hand strokes. Once each candidate has completed the first 5 strokes instructions are given for the remaining 9 strokes. In the current exam the candidates move too quickly for the examiner to see

each stroke. With the examination given one-on-one NIC expects the live shave to be better evaluated. Mr. Robinson asked for the point value of each component of the examination. NIC does not have a point value in place to determine if a specific section of the examination will allow an individual to fail the entire examination. The first five strokes of the exam is strictly evaluated the other nine segments of the shave are to see if the techniques can be followed as directed. For example are the candidates holding the razor properly, are the leading points being used, and is the skin being stretched properly. Edwin Barnes asked when did the point values go away because he was in the group that created the point values. Ms. Kinley explained there was not a point value for the components of the examination but it was a ratio of how many candidates would pass each particular segment of the component of the examination. If a candidate forgets their razor they could get credit for properly draping their client, lathering the client and the safety and protection of their client but points would be taken off if hair cannot be removed. There is not a numeric value that can necessarily be provided and it does not mean the candidate will necessarily fail the entire shaving component of the examination. Mr. Barnes asked would that be wrong for a candidate to pass the examination if they cannot perform the shave completely. Mr. Conder explained NIC is more concerned about the techniques being performed than the removal of hair. Mr. Robinson explained the Board has a problem with a candidate being able to pass the overall examination if they do not complete a full shave with the removal of hair that should result in an automatic failure. Mr. Conder explained the exam is a national exam and some states do not require the removal of hair but the candidates are scored by the techniques. Mr. Robinson explained for the South Carolina examination the Board is requiring a live shave with the removal of hair. Hair should be removed completely; although, it may not be a smooth shave do to the candidates being fresh out of school. Mr. Conder clarified that South Carolina is requesting the task should include the removal of hair and if hair is not removed the entire exam is failed. Mr. Robinson stated that this information is clearly explained in the Candidate Information Bulletin (CIB) because there are some that have gone to the exam prepared to perform a mock shave as well as a tapered hair cut.

Mr. Robinson had the concerned about the live shave being untimed. The Board agreed the shaving component should be timed at 30 minutes. Mr. Conder stated the directive in the textbook does not indicate a time limit which is where NIC received that information from. Ms. Kinley expressed the shave is untimed for the benefit and safety of the candidate.

Mr. Robinson asked for the evaluation of the tapered hair cut at the nape area. Mr. Conder explained the clippers are to be used and once at the nape area a plastic guard can be used or a detachable clipper head according to the subject matter experts. Mr. Barnes expressed he was a part of the subject matter experts and from what he recalls the only time a guard should be used was on the sides of an Afro-American head. If the tapered hair cut is not performed the hair cutting segment of the exam will be failed.

Mr. Robinson expressed there were 2 situations for PCS to be aware of. More or better signage is needed at the exam site for candidates to be properly directed to the proper exam area. Mr. Robinson would like for Board members to have access to be able to observe the practical exams with their State issued identification badge. Ms. Rananto stated she was not aware of the denial of board members but will address the situation.

Renee Patton wanted to know the ratio of examinees to examiners. Mr. Conder stated PCS has no more than 6 to 1 and NIC requires no more than 8 to 1.

Ms. Richardson asked if a candidate fails the live shave component of the examination but the overall exam was passed, could that candidate go back and re-take the component of the exam they failed. Ms. Kinley explained the scoring is not compensatory, the candidate will not fail by sections, and it's an overall scoring.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion to have the CIB edited to reflect the hair removal from the 14 strokes of shave and to adopt the recommendation from NIC of the live shave component of the exam to be untimed. PCS should notify the Barber Schools and the OJT instructors of the updates. This information will be added to the Board's website. The updates should be implemented within 60-days. Dr. Evans seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

New Business

Student Hour Submission

Heyward Career and Technology Center

Ms. Richardson explained the student hourly report submission has been submitted beyond the 10-day grace period the Board has allowed. The South Carolina Code of Regulations states the hourly submissions should be turned in at the first of each month. How would the Board like for staff to proceed because staff cannot accept these late submissions? Mr. Robinson explained the students should not suffer for the late submissions. The instructor should be fined and publicly reprimanded. The new fine structure that the Board is about to implement will apply to the instructor's that are not following the Regulations. Ms. League explained Ms. Richardson was unaware of the Board's previous directive and how these issues should be handled. The Board will need to re-affirm their policy if a matter involves an instructor that submits the students' hours, staff should approve the hours and the Office of Investigation (OIE) should be contacted for an investigation.

Drafted Memorandum

Ms. Richardson explained a memorandum has been drafted to send to each Barber School as well as OJT instructors. Within this memorandum it requested the timely submission of student hours and that each student's hours be submitted separately. This request is due to schools or students being investigated. When hourly reports have to be pulled and the form has each student's information on it, those students that are not under investigation has to be redacted.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion to approve the memorandum to be sent for the timely student hourly submission, one student per form, and the monthly hours are submitted no later than the 10th of each month. Edwin Barnes seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Committee to Review and Revise All Examinations

Ms. Richardson reminded the Board at the last Board meeting discussion was made that a committee be formed to review and revise the examinations. Ms. Richardson asked if a decision

has been made of who these members would be to form this committee. The names and contact information of these individual names could be provided to NIC for clearance could be granted from NIC for the members to have the examination questions. Mr. Robinson stated the full Board needs to be on that committee with the exception of Dr. Evans since he is a public member.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion for the Board members to be on this review committee of the examinations.

Motion was withdrawn and restated.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion for all of the Board members (to include Renee Patton, Edwin Barnes and Paul Robinson) to review the examinations and to have 4 other members: school owners and/or instructors. Edwin Barnes seconded the motion.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a second motion to include Patricia Durkin on the examination review committee. Dr. Evans seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Review for Consideration of the Hair Braiders' Curriculum

Mr. Robinson asked for clarification pertaining to the hair braider's schools and curriculum because he was under the impression that the hair braider schools and shops were not regulated by the Board. Ms. Richardson explained according to the Statute there has to be a 6-hour course taken and pass a test in order for the hair braider registration to be issued. The current online course does not offer technique. In prior Board minutes there have been individuals that were granted permission to teach the hair braiding 6-hour course. The 2 curriculums that were developed are brought before the Board seeking approval.

Lisa Burks appeared before the Board seeking approval of the Hair Braider curriculum. Ms. Burks explained that she has developed a textbook for hair braiding which is in line with the curriculum that is within the South Carolina Code of Regulations. Ms. Burks explained her background in Cosmetology is over 25 years and she also serves as a Registered Cosmetologist Instructor of 4 years. Ms. Burks explained she partnered with Robert Brown in 2012 to have the Hair Braider's bill amended to add hair which is was approved. At this junction Ms. Burks stated she was given the go ahead to formulate a curriculum called the Braider Handbook. Ms. Burks stated she has a school called the Universals for Natural Institute for Hair which is offered online for professionals. Mr. Robinson explained to Ms. Burks that the Board is only concerned with the 6 hour Hair Braider course that is required by the Board. Ms. Burks explained Hair Braiders needs to know a lot about the hair before attempting to be a hair braider and the course she has developed has been reduce to 25 hours. Mr. Robinson expressed the information presented is well over the scoop of the hair braider practice that legislation has laid out. Ms. Burks expressed she can minimize her curriculum to a 6 hour course.

Mr. Robinson explained the Hair Braider's course perimeter is outlined as: Sanitation, client protection, indentifying the scalp issues and hair extensions. Ms. League explained it would be helpful to the Board if the modules are outline and specified in timed segment.

Karen Stacks submitted a 6 hour curriculum to be reviewed by the Board but Ms. Stacks did not show up to the Board meeting.

Review for Consideration of Licensure with Background Report

Montrell Maddox appeared before the Board seeking approval of the registered barber apprentice license. Discussion included but was not limited to the explanation pertaining to his criminal background history. The violations did not occur in or near a barber shop. Mr. Maddox served 5 years in prison and was released in 2009. Once released from prison Mr. Maddox stated he was on probation for about 1 year and ½. Mr. Maddox is registered as a sex offender. Mr. Maddox is not currently on probation or parole. While in prison Mr. Maddox was in an anger management program.

MOTION:

Edwin Barnes made a motion to go into executive session for legal advice. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion to come back to regular session. Edwin Barnes seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

During executive session no votes were taken and no motions were made.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion to approve Montrell Maddox for a Registered Barber Apprentice license with a 10 year probationary period. Mr. Maddox is required to submit a SLED report at the end of each year at his own expense. Patricia Durkin seconded the motion which carried unanimously. Edwin Barnes opposed the motion.

Review for Consideration of a Third Student Permit

Alfonza Doctor appeared before the Board seeking approval of a third student permit. Discussion included but was not limited to the explanation of the request of a third student permit. While incarcerated Mr. Doctor obtained 1,028 hours. Mr. Doctor was release from prison March 2012 and would like to complete his barber hours. Once released from prison Mr. Doctor was an OJT student at a barber shop in Summerton, SC and the instructor altered the contract they developed and the OJT instructor went back on the contract because his clientele increased which resulted in Mr. Doctor leaving that barber shop. Mr. Doctor is now interested in enrolling at Denmark Tech to complete the rest of his hours.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion for approval Alfonza Doctor to receive a third student permit. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Rodney Brown appeared before the Board seeking approval of a third student permit. Discussion included but was not limited to the explanation of the request of a third student permit. Mr. Brown was not able to complete barber school because the school he attended did not offer financial aid and he could no longer pay out-of-pocket. Mr. Brown later attended another school but was not able to stay in school there due to family issues. Mr. Brown is ready to complete his hours through OJT.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion to approve Rodney Brown to receive a third permit. Patricia Durkin seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Titus Smith appeared before the Board seeking approval of a third student permit. Discussion included but was not limited to the explanation of the request of a third student permit. Mr. Smith explained he has completed 1,058 hours and wish to complete the rest of his hours.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion to grant a third student permit to Titus Smith. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Review for Consideration of Permission to Take Examinations

Eddy Williams appeared before the Board seeking approval to take his examinations. Discussion included but was not limited to the explanation one of the instructors he trained under would not release his hours. Mr. Williams does not have his monthly hourly report that the instructor turned in. B'Unique Barber School is the school withholding Mr. Williams' hours.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion to approve Eddy Williams to take his barber examinations. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

MOTION:

Edwin Barnes made a motion for a break. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion to come out of the break. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Hearings

Lashunda Hunter – BHB 1094 (case 2013-2)

Ms. Perlman requested a continuation for this case due to Ms. Hunter not being in attendance. Robbie Boland, Office of Inspections was serving as the witness in this case and he was not able to be in attendance either.

MOTION:

Renee Patton made a motion for a continuance of case 2013-2 until the April Board meeting. Patricia Durkin seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Alison Salters – BHB 3688 (case 2014-29)

Alison Salters was not in attendance at the hearing. Ms. Perlman asked to proceed with this case in the absence of Alison Salters. Mr. Robinson ruled to proceed with the case.

Raymond Lee conducted an inspection on or about January 23, 2014 due to a complaint of unlicensed practice at Papi's Barbershop. Mr. Lee stated Ms. Salters was not working at the time of the inspection but the owner called Alison Salters into the barbershop. There was a hair braider's registration present at the time of the inspection for Ms. Salters. Mr. Lee spoke with the barber shop owner and he explained that Ms. Salters is a braided hair and is not to perform any barbering services. Mr. Lee pointed out to Ms. Salters the hair braider's scope of practice. Yolanda Rogers and investigation of LLR conducted an investigation due to the complaint being forwarded to her. Ms. Rogers explained there were 2 locations in Beaufort for this barber shop and Ms. Rogers traveled to Papi's Barbershop in Beaufort, South Carolina on Savannah Hwy and found no evidence of unlicensed practice. Ms. Rogers then visited Papi's Barbershop on Boundary St. During the investigation on or about July 10, 2014 Ms. Rogers found Ms. Salters cutting a clients hair. Ms. Rogers asked Ms. Salters to see her license and was presented with a hair braider's registration. Ms. Rogers proceeded to informed Ms. Salters that she was operating outside of her scope of practice. In Ms. Rogers report she requested a cease and desist be issued to Ms. Salters.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion in the case of 2014-29 that the State has proven its case. Due to this being the first offense a fine of \$250 is being recommended to be paid within 90-days. The registration cannot be reinstated until the fine is paid. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Joe's Barber Shop – BS 71467 (case 2014-30)

The owner of Joe's Barbershop, Joseph Dinovo was not in attendance to the hearing. Ms. Perlman asked to proceed with this case in the absence of Joseph Dinovo. Mr. Robinson ruled to proceed with the case.

Jack Bowles conducted an inspection on or about July 17, 2014 at Joe's Barbershop. During the routine inspection the owner was not present. There was an individual named James J. Terry providing barbering services on clients without a valid license. Mr. Terry informed Mr. Bowles that he obtained a license in New York but not South Carolina. Mr. Bowles informed Mr. Terry that he could not work in the State of South Carolina without a valid South Carolina's license.

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion in the case of 2014-30 that the State has proven its case. Due to this being the first offense a fine of \$250 fine is being recommended to be paid within 90-days. If the fine is not paid Joe's Barbershop license will be administratively suspended. Edwin Barnes seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Latif Al-Khatib – BI 1856 (case 2014-31)

Latif Al-Khatib was not in attendance to the hearing. Ms. Perlman asked to proceed with this case in the absence of Latif Al-Khatib. Mr. Robinson ruled to proceed with the case. Glen Frick conducted an inspection on or about June 16, 2014. During the inspection Mr. Frick found Mr. Al-Khatib instructing Carl Jeffery an on-the-job training (OJT) student with an expired student permit. At the station where Mr. Jeffery's expired permit was posted, there was fresh hair on the floor and dirty barbering instruments. It was explained to Mr. Al-Khatib the Barbershop failed its inspection due to the evidence found and sanitation issues. Mr. Frick informed Mr. Al-Khatib that Mr. Jeffery could not work in the shop until he obtained another student permit. A 7-day follow up was conducted. Mr. Frick informed Raymond Lee of the expired student permit and explained he may want to check the student monthly hour submission. Mr. Lee explained to Mr. Frick he would allow the student about a week to obtain an updated student permit. There were no hours submitted for the year of 2014 up to that point. Mr. Lee and Mr. Frick returned to the Barber shop to conduct a follow-up inspection. Mr. Lee informed Mr. Al-Khatib that he was responsible for the OJT student and turning daily monthly hours into the office. It was also found that monthly daily hours for the student was not properly maintained or submitted and there were no daily sign-in sheets available. Mr. Al-Khatib did not provide textbooks to his students. The hourly report that was turned in October 2013 Mr. Al-Khatib submitted hours for 2012. In August 2014 hourly submission were received with 1,035 hours. As of Monday, February 23, 2015 no other hours has been received by the Board's staff from Mr. Al-Khabit.

Ms. Perlman concluded, Mr. Al-khabit has violated South Carolina Code of Laws sections 40-1-110 (c) and 40-7-30 and South Carolina Code of Regulations sections 17-11 and 17-14 (g).

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion that the State has proved its case. The recommendations for this case are that the violation of the South Carolina Code of Laws section 40-1-110(c) should have a fine of \$500.00. The violation of the South Carolina Code of Laws section 40-7-30 should have a fine of \$250.00. The violation of the South Carolina Code of Regulations section 17-11 should have a fine of \$500.00. The violation of the South Carolina Code of Regulations section 17-14 should have a fine of \$500.00. These violations are totaled at \$1750.00 to be payable within 90-days, and a public reprimand. If the fine is not paid within the 90-day time period the Barber Instructors license should be administratively suspended. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

Discussion

There were no discussions.

Board Member Reports

There were no Board member reports.

Public Comments

Danielle Robinson-Goodwin, a Barber and Cosmetology Instructor had concerns about the CIB being very confusion. The update information is not being sent to the schools and/or instructors and students are under the impression that the instructors are incompetent and that is not always the case. Can instructors go to the examination site? Mr. Robinson explained instructors cannot attend the examinations that conversation was for Board members. The June school overview

meeting is the best avenue to have your questions answered as far as what the students are being tested on.

Adjournment: 1:46

MOTION:

Dr. Evans made a motion to adjourn. Renee Patton seconded the motion which carried unanimously.